

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This application has been carefully reviewed in view of the March 25, 2005 Office Action wherein several claims were rejected under 35 USC § 112 and others under 35 USC § 103. Noteably, claims 3, 4, 8-11, 15-20 were indicated as being allowable.

In response, the claims have been amended to now present only subject matter previously indicated as being allowable. Accordingly, the application as now amended is submitted to be in condition for allowance.

### **35 USC § 112:**

Claims 12 and 13-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, on the basis that the specification allegedly does not describe why the electrical generator is coupled to the motor. The law does not require that the specification explain WHY one component is connected to another; only that the specification teaches how to make and/or how to use the invention. The Office Action itself indicates that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would clearly understand the generator's mechanical and electrical connections to the motor, as well as the respective functions performed by the generator and the motor. Moreover, the specification clearly teaches that '[t]he generator 86 is connected via electrical leads 88 to the motor 56 and/or an electrical outlet 90.' to produce electric current which passes through the electrical leads to the motor 56 and/or an electrical outlet 90.

Claims 1 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action states that a variable power source is indefinite on the basis it is not clear if the applicant means that can be a dc power source and an alternate power source or the magnitude voltage or current is variable. Since the term 'variable power source' is defined in the specification, it appears that the Office Action is

erroneously trying to import limitations from the specification (e.g., dc power source or an alternate power source) into claims 1 and 13, rather than simply give the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification as is permissible.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the rejections under Section 112 are not supportable, and it is respectfully requested that they be withdrawn.

**SUBSTANTIVE CLAIM AMENDMENTS:**

Independent claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the recitations of canceled claims 2 and 3. Such a claim was indicated as being allowable.

Independent claim 13 has been amended to incorporate the recitations of canceled claims 14 and 15. Such a claim was indicated as being allowable.

New independent claim 21 is original claim 8 rewritten in independent form. Claims 22 - 27 correspond with original claims 6, 7 and 9-12, and are all dependent from new independent claim 21.

New independent claim 28 is original claim 17 rewritten in independent form. Such a claim was indicated as being allowable.

Claims 29 and 30 correspond to original claims 18 and 19.


///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///  
///

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that each of the pending claims 1, 4-13 and 16-30 is now in condition for allowance, notice of which is respectfully submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Scott W. Kelley  
Reg. No. 30,762  
Attorney for Applicant

SWK/lt

6320 Canoga Avenue  
Suite 1650  
Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
(818) 347-7900